

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/966,611	09/27/2001	Eduardo Chi Sing	034298-121	7377	
7590 05/21/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
ROBERT E. KREBS			BAXTER, JESSICA R		
THELEN REID	) & PRIEST LLP				
P.O. BOX 640640			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA 95164-0640			3731		

DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/966,611	SING ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jessica R Baxter	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 March 2004 and 02 February 2004.						
,						
/ <del></del>	' '					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 7-11 and 15-26 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 7-11 and 15-26 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>02022004</u>.</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject
- 2. As stated in the previous Office Action, claims 7-11, 15 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 7 and 22 lack a transitional phrase. The claims have been examined as if the transitional phrase was "comprising".

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 7, 15, 16, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,161,034 to Burbank et al.

Regarding claims 7, 16, 21 and 22, Burbank discloses a method for performing a biopsy comprising removing tissue from a vascular site (Column 6 lines 7-38) and positioning a pledget of absorbable sponge material adjacent the vascular tissue site wherein the absorbable sponge material includes a contrasting agent substantially dispersed throughout said absorbable sponge material (Column 5 lines 29-46).

Regarding claim 15, Burbank discloses the step of locating the position of the vascular tissue site by detecting the contrast agent (Column 6 lines 7-38).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8, 9, 17, 18, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burbank et al. '034 in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,098,728 to Rosenblatt.

Burbank discloses the claimed invention except for the use of a water insoluble contrasting agent selected from the group of tantalum, tantalum oxide, barium sulfate, gold tungsten, platinum, and mixtures thereof. Rosenblatt teaches that water insoluble contrasting agents such as barium sulfate are suitable contrast agents to be incorporated into the body for later detection (Column 8 lines 22-64). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the sponge of Burbank with the specific water insoluble substance of barium sulfate since it is a well-known suitable material used in medical imaging.

7. Claims 10, 11, 19, 20 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burbank et al. '034 in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,624,685 to Takahashi et al.

Burbank discloses the claimed invention except for the selection of a water soluble contrasting agent from the group of metrizamide, iopamidol, iothalamate sodium, iodomide sodium, meglumine, and mixtures thereof. Takahashi teaches that water soluble contrasting

Art Unit: 3731

agents such as iopamidol and metrizamide are suitable substances to be mixed with a sponge-like material (gel) for image detection. These materials are preferred since they will not embrittle the resulting gel (Column 5 line 65-Column 6 line 9). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Burbank with the contrasting agents of Takahashi in order to not embrittle the sponge (gel), but still be able to detect the sponge with X-rays.

### Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments filed February 2, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 9. Applicant argues that the contrasting agent of Burbank '034 is not substantially dispersed throughout said sponge material. However, Burbank discloses that the "detectable material will be combined (e.g. mixed with, encapsulated by, suspended in, etc.) a material to remain present at the biopsy site" (Column 12 lines 31-33). Mixtures and suspensions indicate that the detectable material will be substantially dispersed throughout the material. In this case, the material is a sponge. Therefore, the rejection over Burbank is proper.

#### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jessica R Baxter whose telephone number is 703-305-4069. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, McDermott or Shaver can be reached on 703-308-0858. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jessica R Baxter Examiner Art Unit 3731

jrb

DAVID O. REIP PRIMARY EXAMINER